Enforcement of foreign arbitral awards in Indonesia

In a recent briefing, we outlined some of the unique features of Indonesia-seated arbitration. As Rob Palmer and Baldev Bhinder now explain, when it comes to enforcement of foreign arbitral awards, Indonesia can also throw up surprises for the unwary.

In many cases, losing parties in an arbitration will voluntarily comply with the award, for example by paying to the winning party the damages awarded. Alternatively, the award may also provide a basis for a negotiated settlement, with the winning party accepting a “haircut” in return for prompt payment. On some occasions, however, a losing party will refuse to satisfy the award, and the winning party will be forced to proceed with enforcement, using the courts of the country or countries where assets are located.

Indonesia has obtained a reputation as being a difficult country in which to enforce arbitral awards. In this briefing we explain the procedures for enforcing arbitral awards in Indonesia, and comment on the practical implications for parties considering enforcement.

The Arbitration Law

Indonesia's Law Concerning Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution, Law No. 30 of 1999 (the Arbitration Law) draws a distinction between international and domestic awards. Arbitrations seated in Indonesia are classed as domestic, while those seated outside Indonesia are classed as international. The enforcement procedure differs depending upon whether an award is international or domestic; here, we comment only on the process for enforcing international awards.

The enforcement procedure

Indonesia has ratified the New York Convention, which among other things provides for the enforcement in a signatory State of arbitral awards made in the territory of another signatory State. The Arbitration Law gives effect to these treaty obligations. In fact, the grounds for refusing enforcement of an international award under the Arbitration Law are more restrictive than those under the New York Convention, being confined to a limited number of situations such as those where the award is in conflict with public morality and order.

The first step in the enforcement of an international award in Indonesia is for it to be registered with the District Court of Central Jakarta. Importantly, registration must be carried out by the tribunal or its proxy (and not by the parties themselves). There is no time limit for registration of international awards.

Indonesia's track record

Official statistics as to enforcement of international awards in Indonesia are not available. Unofficial
surveys indicate that only a limited number of enforcement attempts have been made (with one recent survey suggesting a total of 57 applications to enforce international awards between 2000 and 2012).

Interestingly, and contrary to popular belief, these unofficial surveys indicate that only a very small number of enforcement applications have been rejected. Many of these refusals relate to awards by the tribunal in the Astro Nusantara v Ayunda Prima Mitra case; the Indonesian courts have refused enforcement of these on the grounds of public order, taking the view that the tribunal's orders for an anti-suit injunction and findings of fact interfered with parallel court proceedings brought in Indonesia.

Parallel litigation

What these surveys do not show, however, are the cases where enforcement is delayed (or perhaps not even commenced) as a result of parallel litigation in the Indonesian Courts.

In our experience, it is not uncommon for a losing party in an Indonesia-related arbitration to commence Indonesian court proceedings by bringing actions in tort (unlawful act) on the basis that such disputes are said to fall outside the arbitration clause. The Court may be – or may be perceived to be – reluctant to register and enforce an award until the parallel litigation is resolved. As a result, enforcement may be held up or may not commence until the litigation is over. Taking into account the lengthy appeal process available in Indonesian civil proceedings, the potential for extensive delay is clear.

Practical tips

In summary, enforcement of international awards in Indonesia can prove difficult. However, the obstacles may not be as great as perceived. The process can be eased by steps such as:

- Following the correct procedures: in particular, the requirement for registration to be effected by the tribunal or its proxy is often not understood. It is advisable to obtain a Power of Attorney (PoA) from the tribunal in advance of any award so that registration can be progressed; once a final award has been issued, a tribunal may consider itself without jurisdiction to provide a PoA;

- Considering the arbitration agreement: in some situations, the risk of parallel litigation may be reduced by ensuring that the arbitration agreement extends to non-contractual claims, thereby reducing the scope for a losing party to bring actions in tort; and

- Assessing alternatives: it may be possible to avoid altogether the need to enforce in Indonesia if assets can be identified offshore, or if the award can be used as a basis to negotiate a commercial settlement.

Notes

1 Views expressed on Indonesian law in this briefing are provided by Indonesian-qualified lawyers at Ashurst's associated firm, Oentoeng Suria & Partners.
2 Subject to the so-called reciprocity and commercial exceptions.